



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/549,820

09/20/2005

Norbert Heske

289-PDD-03-09 US

3682

79990

7590

06/09/2009

C. R. Bard, Inc.

Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.

1415 W. 3rd Street

P.O. Box 1740

Tempe, AZ 85280-1740

EXAMINER

STOUT, MICHAEL C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3736

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/549,820	Applicant(s) HESKE ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL C. STOUT	Art Unit 3736	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL C. STOUT. (3) Ronald K. Aust (Applicant's representative).

(2) Max Hindenburg. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 May 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ouchi (US 6,514,215 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Participants discussed differences between the amended claim language of the invention and the art cited in the previous office action. It was provisionally agreed that the amended claim language was sufficient to overcome the art cited in the previous office action and the amendments will fully considered in the next office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/M. C. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3736	/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736
---------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------